

PROBLEM 6

6. Let  $X \sim N(0, R)$  where  $R$  is a  $p \times p$  symmetric positive-definite matrix. Further define the precision matrix,  $B = R^{-1}$ , and use the notation

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1/\sigma^2 & A \\ A^t & C \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times (p-1)}$  and  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{(p-1) \times (p-1)}$

- Calculate the marginal density of  $X_1$ , the first component of  $X$ , given the components of the matrix  $R$ .
- Calculate the conditional density of  $X_1$  given all the remaining components,  $Y = [X_2, \dots, X_p]^T$ .
- What is the conditional mean and covariance of  $X_1$  given  $Y$ ?

**solution**

a) We have proved that in Problem 5: let  $X \sim N(\mu, R)$  be a jointly Gaussian random vector, and let  $K \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times p}$  be a rank  $M$  matrix. Then the vector  $Y = KX \sim N(K\mu, K RK^T)$  is also jointly Gaussian. The corresponding PDF for  $X$  and  $Y$  are

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2}} |R|^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (x - \mu)^T R^{-1} (x - \mu) \right\}$$

$$f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{M/2}} |K RK^T|^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (y - K\mu)^T (K RK^T)^{-1} (y - K\mu) \right\}$$

Here we know that  $X \sim N(0, R)$ , so  $\mu = 0$  and  $Y = KX \sim N(0, K RK^T)$

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2}} |R|^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} x^T R^{-1} x \right\}$$

$$f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{M/2}} |K RK^T|^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} y^T (K RK^T)^{-1} y \right\}$$

We may set  $K = [1, 0, \dots, 0] \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times p}$ , then  $X_1 = KX$ , thus  $K RK^T = R_{11} = \mathbb{E}[X_1^2]$

$$f_{X_1}(x_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi R_{11}}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{x_1^2}{2R_{11}} \right\}$$

b) We may set  $K \in \mathbb{R}^{(p-1) \times p}$  as

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{1 \times (p-1)} \\ I_{(p-1) \times (p-1)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$Y = [X_2, \dots, X_p]^T = KX$ ,  $r \equiv [R_{21}, \dots, R_{p1}]^T = [R_{12}, \dots, R_{1p}]^T$  and  $R' \equiv K RK^T$  is given by

$$R' \equiv K RK^T = \begin{pmatrix} R_{22} & \cdots & R_{2p} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ R_{p2} & \cdots & R_{pp} \end{pmatrix}, \quad R = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & r^T \\ r & R' \end{pmatrix}$$

Consider the adjugate matrix, it is clear that for the inverse matrix  $B$  of  $R$ . We have that

$$\frac{1}{\sigma^2} = (-1)^{1+1} \frac{|R'|}{|R|} = \frac{|R'|}{|R|} \quad (1)$$

$$a_k = (-1)^{k+1} \frac{(-1)^{k-2} \cdot |R'_{(k-1)}|}{|R|} = -\frac{|R'_{(k-1)}|}{|R|} \quad k \in \{2, \dots, p\}$$

Where  $A = [a_2, \dots, a_p]$ ,  $R' = [r'_2, \dots, r'_p]$  and  $R'_{(k-1)} = [r'_2, \dots, r'_{k-1}, r, r'_{k+1}, \dots, r'_p]$  whose  $(k-1)$ th column vector is replaced with column vector  $r$

Since the solution of  $R'x = R'[x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}] = r$  is given by  $x_{k-1} = \frac{|R'_{(k-1)}|}{|R'|}$ ,  $k \in \{2, \dots, p\}$

$$A^T = -\frac{|R'| (R')^{-1} r}{|R|} = -\frac{|R'|}{|R|} (R')^{-1} r \quad (2)$$

Moreover, we can derive the relationship between  $|R|$  and  $|R'|$  by expanding  $|R|$  with first row

$$\begin{aligned} |R| &= R_{11}|R'| + \sum_{k=2}^p (-1)^{k+1} R_{1k} \cdot (-1)^{k-2} |R'_{(k-1)}| = R_{11}|R'| - r^T (R')^{-1} r |R'| \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{|R'|}{|R|} = \frac{1}{R_{11} - r^T (R')^{-1} r} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Furthermore, we can verify the equation below if  $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{D}$  are invertible

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{C})^{-1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & (\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B})^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & -\mathbf{B}\mathbf{D}^{-1} \\ -\mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}^{-1} & \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix}$$

Select  $\mathbf{A} = R_{11}$ ,  $\mathbf{B} = r^T$ ,  $\mathbf{C} = r$ ,  $\mathbf{D} = R'$

Since  $R_{11} \neq 0$  and  $R'$  is positive definite, both  $R_{11}$ ,  $R'$  are invertible

$$\begin{aligned} R^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} & A \\ A^T & C \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{R_{11} - r^T (R')^{-1} r} & \vec{0}^T \\ \vec{0} & (R' - \frac{rr^T}{R_{11}})^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & -r^T (R')^{-1} \\ -\frac{r}{R_{11}} & I \end{pmatrix} \\ &\Rightarrow C = \left( R' - \frac{rr^T}{R_{11}} \right)^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

With **Sherman–Morrison formula**

$$(\mathbf{A} + uv^T)^{-1} = \mathbf{A}^{-1} - \frac{\mathbf{A}^{-1} uv^T \mathbf{A}^{-1}}{1 + v^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} u}$$

Select  $\mathbf{A} = R'$ ,  $u = -\frac{r}{\sqrt{R_{11}}}$ ,  $v = \frac{r}{\sqrt{R_{11}}}$ , notice  $(R')^{-T} = (R')^{-1}$  and (1), (2), (3), (4)

$$C = (R')^{-1} + \frac{(R')^{-1} r r^T (R')^{-1}}{R_{11} - r^T (R')^{-1} r} = (R')^{-1} + \frac{|R'|}{|R|} (R')^{-1} r r^T (R')^{-1} = (R')^{-1} + \sigma^2 A^T A \quad (5)$$

With  $Y = [X_2, \dots, X_p]^T$  and (1),(5)

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_X(x) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2}} |R|^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{x_1^2}{\sigma^2} + 2x_1 Ay + y^T [(R')^{-1} + \sigma^2 A^T A] y \right] \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2}} |R|^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(x_1 + \sigma^2 Ay)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\} \cdot \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} y^T (R')^{-1} y \right\} \\
 f_Y(y) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{(p-1)/2}} |R'|^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} y^T (R')^{-1} y \right\} \\
 f_{X_1|Y}(x_1 | y) &= \frac{f_X(x)}{f_Y(y)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(x_1 + \sigma^2 Ay)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

c) Treat  $Y = [X_2, \dots, X_p]^T$  as a constant,  $X_1 \sim N(-\sigma^2 Ay, \sigma^2)$ , the conditional mean is given by

$$\mathbb{E}[X_1|Y] = -\sigma^2 Ay$$

and the conditional variance is given by

$$\text{Var}[X_1|Y] = \sigma^2$$

PROBLEM 7

7. Let  $X \sim N(0, R)$  where  $R$  is a  $p \times p$  symmetric positive-definite matrix with an eigen decomposition of the form  $R = E\Lambda E^T$

- a) Calculate the covariance of  $\tilde{X} = E^T X$ , and show that the components of  $\tilde{X}$  are jointly independent Gaussian random variables. (Hint: Use the result of problem 5 above.)
- b) Show that if  $Y = E\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}W$  where  $W \sim N(0, I)$ , then  $Y \sim N(0, R)$ . How can this result be of practical value?

**solution**

a) We have proved that in Problem 5: let  $X \sim N(\mu, R)$  be a jointly Gaussian random vector, and let  $K \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times p}$  be a rank  $M$  matrix. Then the vector  $Y = KX \sim N(K\mu, K RK^T)$  is also jointly Gaussian. The corresponding PDF for  $X$  and  $Y$  are

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2}} |R|^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (x - \mu)^T R^{-1} (x - \mu) \right\}$$

$$f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{M/2}} |K RK^T|^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (y - K\mu)^T (K RK^T)^{-1} (y - K\mu) \right\}$$

Here we know that  $X \sim N(0, R)$ , where  $\mu = 0$  and  $Y = KX \sim N(0, K RK^T)$

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2}} |R|^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} x^T R^{-1} x \right\}$$

$$f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{M/2}} |K RK^T|^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} y^T (K RK^T)^{-1} y \right\}$$

We may set  $K = E^T \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ , then  $\tilde{X} = KX = E^T X$ , thus  $K RK^T = E^T R E = E^T E \Lambda E^T E = \Lambda$

$$f_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2}} |\Lambda|^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{x}^T \Lambda^{-1} \tilde{x} \right\} = \prod_{k=1}^p \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_k}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\tilde{x}_k^2}{2\sigma_k^2} \right\}$$

Where  $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_p^2)$ , use the conclusion in Problem 5:  $\tilde{X}_k = \underbrace{[0, \dots, 0]_{(k-1) \ 0}}_{(k-1) \ 0}, 1, \underbrace{[0, \dots, 0]_{(p-k) \ 0}}_{(p-k) \ 0} \cdot X$

Notice  $\underbrace{[0, \dots, 0]_{(k-1) \ 0}}_{(k-1) \ 0}, 1, \underbrace{[0, \dots, 0]_{(p-k) \ 0}}_{(p-k) \ 0} \Lambda \underbrace{[0, \dots, 0]_{(k-1) \ 0}}_{(k-1) \ 0}, 1, \underbrace{[0, \dots, 0]_{(p-k) \ 0}}_{(p-k) \ 0}^T = \sigma_k^2$

$$f_{\tilde{X}_k}(\tilde{x}_k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_k}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\tilde{x}_k^2}{2\sigma_k^2} \right\}$$

In the end, we show that the components of  $\tilde{X}$  are jointly independent Gaussian random variables

$$f_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{x}) = \prod_{k=1}^p \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_k}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\tilde{x}_k^2}{2\sigma_k^2} \right\} = \prod_{k=1}^p f_{\tilde{X}_k}(\tilde{x}_k)$$

The covariance of  $\tilde{X} = E^T X$  is

$$\mathbb{E}[\tilde{X}\tilde{X}^T] - \mathbb{E}[\tilde{X}]\mathbb{E}[\tilde{X}^T] = \Lambda = \text{diag}(\sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_p^2)$$

b) In problem 5, we know that  $X \sim N(0, R)$ , where  $\mu = 0$  and  $Y = KX \sim N(0, K RK^T)$

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2}} |R|^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} x^T R^{-1} x \right\}$$

$$f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{M/2}} |K R K^T|^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} y^T (K R K^T)^{-1} y \right\}$$

We replace  $W \rightarrow X, E\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow K, R \rightarrow I$ , we know that  $W \sim N(0, I)$  and  $Y = (E\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}})W \sim N(0, R)$ , since  $(E\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}})I(E\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}})^T = E\Lambda E^T = R$

$$f_W(w) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} w^T w \right\}$$

$$f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2}} |R|^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} y^T R^{-1} y \right\}$$

So, we derive the method to generate vector  $Y \sim N(0, R)$  step by step:

- (1) generate  $p$  samples  $W_1, \dots, W_p \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, 1^2)$  with the pseudo random generator
- (2) combine  $W_1, \dots, W_p$  to form a vector  $W = [W_1, \dots, W_p]^T$
- (3) compute  $Y$  with a linear transformation  $Y = (E\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}})W$

PROBLEM 8

8. For each of the following cost functions, find expressions for the minimum risk Bayesian estimator, and show that it minimizes the risk over all estimators.

- a)  $C(x, \hat{x}) = |x - \hat{x}|^2$  minimum MSE (MMSE)
- b)  $C(x, \hat{x}) = |x - \hat{x}|$
- c)  $C(x, \hat{x}) = 1 - \delta(x - \hat{x})$  maximum a posteriori (MAP)

**solution**

For the best estimator  $\hat{x} = T(y)$ , we may assume other estimator  $\hat{x} = T(y) + \epsilon h(y)$ , where  $h(y)$  is an arbitrary function,  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ . We can compute the Bayes' Risk  $\mathcal{J}(T + \epsilon h)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(T + \epsilon h) &= \mathbb{E}[C(x, \hat{x})] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} C(x, T(y) + \epsilon h(y)) f_{X,Y}(x, y) dx dy \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} C(x, T(y) + \epsilon h(y)) f_{X|Y}(x | y) dx \right] f_Y(y) dy \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\hat{x} = T(y)$  has the minimal  $\mathcal{J}(T + \epsilon h)$  for any function  $h(y)$  at  $\epsilon = 0$

$$0 = \left. \frac{d\mathcal{J}(T + \epsilon h)}{d\epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon=0} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left. \frac{\partial C(x, T(y) + \epsilon h(y))}{\partial \epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon=0} f_{X|Y}(x | y) dx \right] f_Y(y) dy$$

Especially, when  $C(x, \hat{x}) = C(x - \hat{x})$  is a function of  $x - \hat{x}$

$$\left. \frac{\partial C(x, T(y) + \epsilon h(y))}{\partial \epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon=0} = C'(x - T(y)) \cdot h(y)$$

Then, it holds for all any arbitrary function  $h(y)$

$$0 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} C'(x - T(y)) f_{X|Y}(x | y) dx \right] f_Y(y) h(y) dy$$

So, the kernel must always be 0

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} C'(x - T(y)) f_{X|Y}(x | y) dx = 0$$

a) When  $C(x - \hat{x}) = |x - \hat{x}|^2$

$$C'(x - T(y)) = 2(x - T(y))$$

In the end, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} 2(x - T(y)) f_{X|Y}(x | y) dx &= 0 \\ T(y) &= \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x f_{X|Y}(x | y) dx}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f_{X|Y}(x | y) dx} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x f_{X|Y}(x | y) dx \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $T(Y)$  is the expectation  $\mathbb{E}[X|Y]$  of  $X$  given  $Y$

b) When  $C(x - \hat{x}) = |x - \hat{x}|$

$$\begin{aligned} C'(x - T(y)) &= \text{sgn}(x - T(y)) \\ \int_{T(y)}^{+\infty} f_{X|Y}(x | y) dx - \int_{-\infty}^{T(y)} f_{X|Y}(x | y) dx &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $T(Y)$  is the conditional median of  $X$  given  $Y$

c) When  $C(x - \hat{x}) = 1 - \delta(x - \hat{x})$

$$C'(x - T(y)) = -\delta'(x - T(y))$$

In the end, we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} -\delta'(x - T(y)) f_{X|Y}(x | y) dx = -\delta(x - T(y)) f_{X|Y}(x | y) \Big|_{-\infty}^{+\infty} + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(x - T(y)) \frac{\partial f_{X|Y}(x | y)}{\partial x} dx = 0$$

Thus

$$\frac{\partial f_{X|Y}(x | y)}{\partial x} \Big|_{x=T(y)} = 0$$

It leads to

$$T(Y) = \underset{x}{\operatorname{argmin}} f_{X|Y}(x | Y)$$

## PROBLEM 9

9. Let  $\{Y_k\}_{k=1}^n$  be i.i.d. Bernoulli random variables with distribution

$$\begin{aligned} P\{Y_k = 1\} &= \theta \\ P\{Y_k = 0\} &= 1 - \theta \end{aligned}$$

Compute the ML estimate of  $\theta$

**solution**

The pmf of  $Y_k$  can be written as  $P(y_k | \theta) = \theta^{y_k} (1 - \theta)^{1 - y_k}$ . Since  $\{Y_k\}_{k=1}^n$  are i.i.d.

$$P(y_1, \dots, y_n | \theta) = \prod_{k=1}^n \theta^{y_k} (1 - \theta)^{1 - y_k} = \theta^{\sum_{k=1}^n y_k} \cdot (1 - \theta)^{n - \sum_{k=1}^n y_k}$$

Differentiate the log likelihood function at  $\theta = \hat{\theta}$

$$\left. \frac{d \log (P(y_1, \dots, y_n | \theta))}{d\theta} \right|_{\theta = \hat{\theta}} = \frac{(\sum_{k=1}^n y_k)}{\hat{\theta}} - \frac{n - \sum_{k=1}^n y_k}{1 - \hat{\theta}} = 0$$

We obtain the ML estimate  $\hat{\theta}$  for parameter  $\theta$

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n y_k}{n}$$

Since,  $\left. \frac{d^2 P(y_1, \dots, y_n | \theta)}{d\theta^2} \right|_{\theta = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n y_k}{n}} < 0$ , we verify that  $\hat{\theta} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n y_k}{n}$  is MLE



## PROBLEM 10

10. Let  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be i.i.d. random variables with distribution

$$P\{X_i = k\} = \pi_k, \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{i=1}^m \pi_i = 1$$

Compute the MLE of the parameter vector  $\theta = [\pi_1, \dots, \pi_m]^T$  (Hint: You may use the ML estimate method of the Lagrange parameter multipliers to calculate the solution to the constrained optimization.)

**solution**

Since the pmf with parameter  $\theta$  is  $P(x_i | \theta) = \prod_{k=1}^m \pi_k^{\delta(x_i - k)}$

$$P(x | \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(x_i | \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{k=1}^m \pi_k^{\delta(x_i - k)} = \prod_{k=1}^m \pi_k^{\sum_{i=1}^n \delta(x_i - k)}$$

The corresponding log likelihood function is

$$\log(P(x | \theta)) = \sum_{k=1}^m \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \delta(x_i - k) \right] \log(\pi_k)$$

To maximize the log likelihood function subject to the constraint  $\sum_{i=1}^m \pi_i - 1 = 0$ , we introduce a Lagrange function  $L(\theta, \lambda)$  and a multiplier  $\lambda$

$$L(\theta, \lambda) \equiv \log(P(x | \theta)) - \lambda \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \pi_k - 1 \right)$$

For  $\pi_k$ ,  $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \pi_k} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \delta(x_i - k)}{\pi_k} - \lambda = 0 \Rightarrow \pi_k = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \delta(x_i - k)}{\lambda}$$

To sum up  $k$  from 1 to  $m$ , we notice that  $\sum_{k=1}^m \delta(x_i - k) = 1$

$$1 = \sum_{k=1}^m \pi_k = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \delta(x_i - k)}{\lambda} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \delta(x_i - k)}{\lambda} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n 1}{\lambda} = \frac{n}{\lambda}$$

Thus, we have the MLE for  $\theta = [\pi_1, \dots, \pi_m]^T$

$$\lambda = n, \quad \pi_k = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \delta(x_i - k)}{n} \quad k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$$

## PROBLEM 11

11. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be i.i.d. random variables with distribution  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . Calculate the ML estimate of the parameter vector  $\theta = (\mu, \sigma^2)$

**solution**

Since the pdf with parameter  $\theta$  is  $f_{X_i}(x_i | \theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left\{-\frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\}$

$$f_X(x | \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i}(x_i | \theta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} \sigma^n} \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - 2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \mu + n\mu^2 \right]\right\}$$

The corresponding log likelihood function is

$$\log(f_X(x | \theta)) = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \log(\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - 2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \mu + n\mu^2 \right]$$

Differentiate the likelihood function with parameters  $\mu, \sigma^2$  at  $\theta = \hat{\theta} = (\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2)$

$$\frac{\partial \log(f_X(x | \theta))}{\partial \mu} \Big|_{\theta = \hat{\theta}} = -\frac{1}{2\hat{\sigma}^2} \left[ -2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) + 2n\hat{\mu} \right] = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \log(f_X(x | \theta))}{\partial [\sigma^2]} \Big|_{\theta = \hat{\theta}} = -\frac{n}{2\hat{\sigma}^2} + \frac{1}{2[\hat{\sigma}^2]^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \hat{\mu})^2 = 0$$

In the end, we derive the MLE for  $\theta = (\mu, \sigma^2)$

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{n}, \quad \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \hat{\mu})^2}{n}$$

## PROBLEM 12

12. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be i.i.d. random variables with distribution  $N(\mu, R)$ , where  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^p$  and  $R \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$  is a symmetric positive-definite matrix, and let  $X = [X_1, \dots, X_n]$  be the  $p \times n$  matrix containing all the random vectors. Let  $\theta = (\mu, R)$  denote the parameter vector for the distribution.

- a) Derive the expressions for the probability density of  $p(x|\theta)$  with the forms given in equations (2.6) and (2.10). (Hint: Use the trace property of equation (2.7).)
- b) Compute the joint ML estimate of  $\mu$  and  $R$

**solution**

Since the pdf of given parameter  $\theta$  is

$$\begin{aligned} f_{X_i}(x_i | \theta) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2}} |R|^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (x_i - \mu)^T R^{-1} (x_i - \mu) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2}} |R|^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} [(x_i - \mu)^T R^{-1} (x_i - \mu)] \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2}} |R|^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} [R^{-1} (x_i - \mu)(x_i - \mu)^T] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

a) Since  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d.

$$\begin{aligned} f_X(x | \theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i}(x_i | \theta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{np/2}} |R|^{-n/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ R^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)(x_i - \mu)^T \right] \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{np/2}} |R|^{-n/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} [R^{-1} (x - \mu \cdot \mathbf{1}_{1 \times n})(x - \mu \cdot \mathbf{1}_{1 \times n})^T] \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{np/2}} |R|^{-n/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} [R^{-1} x x^T] + \text{tr} [R^{-1} x \cdot \mathbf{1}_{n \times 1} \mu^T] - \frac{n}{2} \text{tr} [R^{-1} \mu \mu^T] \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{np/2}} |R|^{-n/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} [R^{-1} x x^T] + \mu^T R^{-1} x \cdot \mathbf{1}_{n \times 1} - \frac{n}{2} \mu^T R^{-1} \mu \right\} \end{aligned}$$

With substitution  $b = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = x \cdot \mathbf{1}_{n \times 1}$  and  $S = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i^T = x x^T$

$$f_X(x | \theta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{np/2}} |R|^{-n/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} [R^{-1} S] + \mu^T R^{-1} b - \frac{n}{2} \mu^T R^{-1} \mu \right\}$$

b) The corresponding joint log likelihood function is

$$\log(f_X(x | \theta)) = -\frac{np}{2} \log(2\pi) + \frac{n}{2} \log |R^{-1}| - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} [R^{-1} S] + \mu^T R^{-1} b - \frac{n}{2} \mu^T R^{-1} \mu$$

Differentiate  $\log(f_X(x | \theta))$  with parameters  $\theta = (\mu, R)$  at  $\theta = \hat{\theta} = (\hat{\mu}, \hat{R})$ , notice  $S = S^T = x x^T$

$$\left. \frac{\partial \log(f_X(x | \theta))}{\partial \mu} \right|_{\theta = \hat{\theta}} = R^{-1} b - n R^{-1} \hat{\mu} = 0 \implies \hat{\mu} = \frac{b}{n} = \frac{x \cdot \mathbf{1}_{n \times 1}}{n}$$

$$\left. \frac{\partial \log(f_X(x | \theta))}{\partial [R^{-1}]} \right|_{\theta = \hat{\theta}} = \frac{n}{2} \hat{R} - \frac{1}{2} S^T + \hat{\mu} b^T - \frac{n}{2} \hat{\mu} \hat{\mu}^T = 0 \implies \hat{R} = \frac{S - 2\hat{\mu} b^T + n\hat{\mu} \hat{\mu}^T}{n} = \frac{(x - \hat{\mu} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{1 \times n})(x - \hat{\mu} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{1 \times n})^T}{n}$$

In the end, we have

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{x \cdot \mathbf{1}_{n \times 1}}{n} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{n}, \quad \hat{R} = \frac{(x - \hat{\mu} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{1 \times n})(x - \hat{\mu} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{1 \times n})^T}{n} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \hat{\mu})(x_i - \hat{\mu})^T}{n}$$

## PROBLEM 13

13. Let  $X$  and  $W$  be independent Gaussian random vectors of dimension  $p$  such that  $X \sim N(0, R_x)$  and  $W \sim N(0, R_w)$ , and let  $\theta$  be a deterministic vector of dimension  $p$

- First assume that  $Y = \theta + W$ , and calculate the ML estimate of  $\theta$  given  $Y$
- For the next parts, assume that  $Y = X + W$ , and calculate an expression for  $p_{X|Y}(x | y)$ , the conditional density of  $X$  given  $Y$
- Calculate the MMSE estimate of  $X$  when  $Y = X + W$
- Calculate an expression for the conditional variance of  $X$  given  $Y$

**solution**

a) the ML Estimate  $\hat{\theta}$  of  $\theta$  is  $Y$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\theta} &= \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} f_Y(Y | \theta) \stackrel{\theta=Y-W}{=} Y - \operatorname{argmax}_W f_W(W | \theta) \left| \frac{dW}{dY} \right| = Y - \operatorname{argmax}_W f_W(W) \\ &= Y - \operatorname{argmax}_W \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2}} |R_w|^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} W^T R_w^{-1} W \right\} = Y\end{aligned}$$

b) Think about the pdf relationship between  $Y, X, W$

$$f_{Y,X,W}(y, x, w) = f_{Y,X}(y, x) \delta(y - x - w) = f_{Y,W}(y, w) \delta(y - x - w) = f_{X,W}(x, w) \delta(y - x - w)$$

So, we always have

$$f_{Y,X}(y, x) = f_{Y,W}(y, w) = f_{X,W}(x, w) \quad \text{s.t. } y - x - w = 0$$

Thus, notice  $X, W$  are independent, and  $X \sim N(0, R_x)$ ,  $W \sim N(0, R_w)$

$$\begin{aligned}f_{Y,X}(y, x) &= f_{X,W}(x, w) = f_X(x) f_W(w) \quad \text{s.t. } w = y - x \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2}} |R_x|^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} x^T R_x^{-1} x \right\} \cdot \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2}} |R_w|^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} w^T R_w^{-1} w \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^p} |R_x R_w|^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} x^T R_x^{-1} x - \frac{1}{2} (y - x)^T R_w^{-1} (y - x) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^p} |R_x R_w|^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} R_x^{-1} + R_w^{-1} & -R_w^{-1} \\ -R_w^{-1} & R_w^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \right\}\end{aligned}$$

Notice the equation below if  $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{D}$  are invertible

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{C})^{-1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & (\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B})^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & -\mathbf{B}\mathbf{D}^{-1} \\ -\mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}^{-1} & \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix}$$

Let  $\mathbf{A} = R_x^{-1} + R_w^{-1}$ ,  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{C} = -R_w^{-1}$ ,  $\mathbf{D} = R_w^{-1}$

notice  $(R_x^{-1} + R_w^{-1})^{-1} = R_x(R_x + R_w)^{-1}R_w = R_w(R_x + R_w)^{-1}R_x$

$$(R_w^{-1} - (R_x + R_w)^{-1}R_xR_w^{-1})^{-1} = ((R_x + R_w)^{-1}[R_x + R_w - R_x]R_w^{-1})^{-1} = R_x + R_w$$

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} R_x^{-1} + R_w^{-1} & -R_w^{-1} \\ -R_w^{-1} & R_w^{-1} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} (R_x^{-1} + R_w^{-1} - R_w^{-1}R_xR_w^{-1})^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & (R_w^{-1} - R_w^{-1}(R_x^{-1} + R_w^{-1})^{-1}R_w^{-1})^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &\cdot \begin{pmatrix} I & R_w^{-1}R_x \\ R_w^{-1}(R_x^{-1} + R_w^{-1})^{-1} & I \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} R_x & 0 \\ 0 & R_x + R_w \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} I & I \\ (R_x + R_w)^{-1}R_x & I \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} R_x & R_x \\ R_x & R_x + R_w \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

With **Schur complement** if  $A, D$  are invertible, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} I & BD^{-1} \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A - BD^{-1}C & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ D^{-1}C & I \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ CA^{-1} & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D - CA^{-1}B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & A^{-1}B \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for the determinant, we have

$$\begin{vmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{vmatrix} = \det(A - BD^{-1}C) \det(D) = \det(A) \det(D - CA^{-1}B)$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{vmatrix} R_x & R_x \\ R_x & R_x + R_w \end{vmatrix} = |R_x| \cdot |R_x + R_w - R_x(R_x)^{-1}R_x| = |R_xR_w|$$

So, we conclude that  $(X, Y) \sim N\left(0, \begin{pmatrix} R_x & R_x \\ R_x & R_x + R_w \end{pmatrix}\right)$

$$f_{X,Y}(x, y) = f_{Y,X}(y, x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^p} \begin{vmatrix} R_x & R_x \\ R_x & R_x + R_w \end{vmatrix}^{-1/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} R_x & R_x \\ R_x & R_x + R_w \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}\right\}$$

We have proved that in Problem 5: let  $X \sim N(\mu, R)$  be a jointly Gaussian random vector, and let  $K \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times p}$  be a rank  $M$  matrix. Then the vector  $Y = KX \sim N(K\mu, K RK^T)$  is also jointly Gaussian. The corresponding PDF for  $X$  and  $Y$  are

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2}} |R|^{-1/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu)^T R^{-1}(x - \mu)\right\}$$

$$f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{M/2}} |K RK^T|^{-1/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(y - K\mu)^T (K RK^T)^{-1}(y - K\mu)\right\}$$

Here we know that  $X \sim N(0, R)$ , so  $\mu = 0$  and  $Y = KX \sim N(0, K RK^T)$

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2}} |R|^{-1/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}x^T R^{-1}x\right\}$$

$$f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{M/2}} |K RK^T|^{-1/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}y^T (K RK^T)^{-1}y\right\}$$

Let  $K = \underbrace{[0, \dots, 0]}_{p \ 0}, \underbrace{[1, \dots, 1]}_{p \ 1} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times 2p}$ , then  $Y = K[X; Y]$ ,  $K \begin{pmatrix} R_x & R_x \\ R_x & R_x + R_w \end{pmatrix} K^T = R_x + R_w$   
 So,  $Y \sim (0, R_x + R_w)$

$$f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2}} |R_x + R_w|^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} y^T (R_x + R_w)^{-1} y \right\}$$

Thus, notice  $(R_x + R_w)^{-1} = R_w^{-1} - (R_x + R_w)^{-1} R_x R_w^{-1} = R_w^{-1} - R_w^{-1} (R_x^{-1} + R_w^{-1})^{-1} R_w^{-1}$   
 Moreover, we have  $R_x - R_x (R_x + R_w)^{-1} R_x = (R_x^{-1} + R_w^{-1})^{-1}$

$$\begin{vmatrix} R_x & R_x \\ R_x & R_x + R_w \end{vmatrix} = |R_x - R_x (R_x + R_w)^{-1} R_x| \cdot |R_x + R_w| = |(R_x^{-1} + R_w^{-1})^{-1}| \cdot |R_x + R_w|$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{X|Y}(x | y) &= \frac{f_{X,Y}(x, y)}{f_Y(y)} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2}} \left( \frac{\begin{vmatrix} R_x & R_x \\ R_x & R_x + R_w \end{vmatrix}}{|R_x + R_w|} \right)^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} R_x^{-1} + R_w^{-1} & -R_w^{-1} \\ -R_w^{-1} & R_w^{-1} (R_x^{-1} + R_w^{-1})^{-1} R_w^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \right\} \\ &= \frac{|(R_x^{-1} + R_w^{-1})^{-1}|^{-1/2}}{(2\pi)^{p/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} I & \\ -R_w^{-1} (R_x^{-1} + R_w^{-1})^{-1} & \end{pmatrix} (R_x^{-1} + R_w^{-1}) \begin{pmatrix} I & \\ -R_w^{-1} (R_x^{-1} + R_w^{-1})^{-1} & \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \right\} \\ &= \frac{|(R_x^{-1} + R_w^{-1})^{-1}|^{-1/2}}{(2\pi)^{p/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (x - x_0)^T (R_x^{-1} + R_w^{-1}) (x - x_0) \right\}, \quad x_0 \equiv (R_x^{-1} + R_w^{-1})^{-1} R_w^{-1} y \end{aligned}$$

Eventually, we obtain

$$(X | Y) \sim N \left( (R_x^{-1} + R_w^{-1})^{-1} R_w^{-1} Y, (R_x^{-1} + R_w^{-1})^{-1} \right)$$

c) Now let's compute the MMSE estimate of  $X$  when  $Y = X + W$

We proved that the MMSE estimate of  $X$  when  $Y$  is given in Problem 8 a) is

$$T(Y)_{MMSE} = \underset{\hat{x} \equiv T(y)}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathbb{E}[C(x, \hat{x})] \Big|_{C(x, \hat{x}) = |x - \hat{x}|^2} = \mathbb{E}[X | Y]$$

So, we know that

$$\hat{X}_{MMSE} = T(Y)_{MMSE} = \mathbb{E}[X | Y] = (R_x^{-1} + R_w^{-1})^{-1} R_w^{-1} Y$$

d) The conditional variance of  $X$  given  $Y$  is

$$\operatorname{Cov}[X | Y] = (R_x^{-1} + R_w^{-1})^{-1}$$

## PROBLEM 14

14. Show that if  $X$  and  $Y$  are jointly Gaussian random vectors, then the conditional distribution of  $X$  given  $Y$  is also Gaussian.

**solution**

Let consider the cases with  $\mu_x = 0, \mu_y = 0$  first

Denote covariance by  $R_x = \mathbb{E}[XX^T], R_{xy} = \mathbb{E}[XY^T], R_y = \mathbb{E}[YY^T]$ , where  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1}, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$

$$f_{X,Y}(x, y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{m+n}{2}}} \begin{vmatrix} R_x & R_{xy} \\ R_{xy}^T & R_y \end{vmatrix}^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} R_x & R_{xy} \\ R_{xy}^T & R_y \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Notice the equation below if  $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{D}$  are invertible

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{C})^{-1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & (\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B})^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & -\mathbf{B}\mathbf{D}^{-1} \\ -\mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}^{-1} & \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix}$$

Let  $\mathbf{A} = R_x, \mathbf{B} = R_{xy}, \mathbf{C} = R_{xy}^T, \mathbf{D} = R_y$

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} R_x & R_{xy} \\ R_{xy}^T & R_y \end{pmatrix}^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} (R_x - R_{xy}R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & (R_y - R_{xy}^TR_x^{-1}R_{xy})^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} I & -R_{xy}R_y^{-1} \\ -R_{xy}^TR_x^{-1} & I \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (R_x - R_{xy}R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T)^{-1} & -(R_x - R_{xy}R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T)^{-1}R_{xy}R_y^{-1} \\ -(R_y - R_{xy}^TR_x^{-1}R_{xy})^{-1}R_{xy}^TR_x^{-1} & (R_y - R_{xy}^TR_x^{-1}R_{xy})^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Consider **Woodbury matrix identity**

$$(A + UCV)^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}U(C^{-1} + VA^{-1}U)^{-1}VA^{-1}$$

Let  $A = R_y, C = R_x^{-1}, U = -R_{xy}^T, V = R_{xy}$ , and since the matrix is symmetrical, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (R_y - R_{xy}^TR_x^{-1}R_{xy})^{-1} &= R_y^{-1} + R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T(R_x - R_{xy}R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T)^{-1}R_{xy}R_y^{-1} \\ -(R_y - R_{xy}^TR_x^{-1}R_{xy})^{-1}R_{xy}^TR_x^{-1} &= -[(R_x - R_{xy}R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T)^{-1}R_{xy}R_y^{-1}]^T \end{aligned}$$

Rewrite the inverse matrix as

$$\begin{pmatrix} R_x & R_{xy} \\ R_{xy}^T & R_y \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} (R_x - R_{xy}R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T)^{-1} & -(R_x - R_{xy}R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T)^{-1}R_{xy}R_y^{-1} \\ -[(R_x - R_{xy}R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T)^{-1}R_{xy}R_y^{-1}]^T & R_y^{-1} + R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T(R_x - R_{xy}R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T)^{-1}R_{xy}R_y^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\begin{pmatrix} R_x & R_{xy} \\ R_{xy}^T & R_y \end{pmatrix}^{-1} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & R_y^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (R_x - R_{xy}R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T)^{-1} & -(R_x - R_{xy}R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T)^{-1}R_{xy}R_y^{-1} \\ -[(R_x - R_{xy}R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T)^{-1}R_{xy}R_y^{-1}]^T & R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T(R_x - R_{xy}R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T)^{-1}R_{xy}R_y^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} I \\ -[R_{xy}R_y^{-1}]^T \end{pmatrix} \cdot (R_x - R_{xy}R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} I \\ -[R_{xy}R_y^{-1}]^T \end{pmatrix}^T \end{aligned}$$

With **Schur complement** if  $A, D$  are invertible, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} I & BD^{-1} \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A - BD^{-1}C & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ D^{-1}C & I \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ CA^{-1} & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D - CA^{-1}B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & A^{-1}B \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for the determinant, we have

$$\begin{vmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{vmatrix} = \det(A - BD^{-1}C) \det(D) = \det(A) \det(D - CA^{-1}B)$$

Let  $A = R_x$ ,  $B = R_{xy}$ ,  $C = R_{xy}^T$ ,  $D = R_y$ , we have

$$\begin{vmatrix} R_x & R_{xy} \\ R_{xy}^T & R_y \end{vmatrix} = |R_x - R_{xy}R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T| \cdot |R_y| \quad (2)$$

Since the pdf of  $Y$  is

$$f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} |R_y|^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & R_y^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

With (1), (2), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{X|Y}(x | y) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{m}{2}}} \left( \frac{\begin{vmatrix} R_x & R_{xy} \\ R_{xy}^T & R_y \end{vmatrix}}{|R_y|} \right)^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}^T \left[ \begin{pmatrix} R_x & R_{xy} \\ R_{xy}^T & R_y \end{pmatrix}^{-1} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & R_y^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \right\} \\ &= \frac{|R_x - R_{xy}R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T|^{-1/2}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{m}{2}}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} I \\ -[R_{xy}R_y^{-1}]^T \end{pmatrix} \cdot (R_x - R_{xy}R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} I \\ -[R_{xy}R_y^{-1}]^T \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \right\} \\ &= \frac{|R_x - R_{xy}R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T|^{-1/2}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{m}{2}}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (x - x_0)^T (R_x - R_{xy}R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T)^{-1} (x - x_0) \right\}, \quad x_0 \equiv R_{xy}R_y^{-1}y \end{aligned}$$

So, we prove that  $(X | Y)$  with zero means  $\mu_x = 0, \mu_y = 0$  follows Gaussian distribution

$$(X | Y) \sim N(R_{xy}R_y^{-1}Y, R_x - R_{xy}R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T)$$

Then let's introduce  $\mu_x, \mu_y$  now, replace  $x, y$  with  $x \leftarrow x - \mu_x, y \leftarrow y - \mu_y$  in the pdf

We obtain the following equation, where  $x_0 \equiv R_{xy}R_y^{-1}(y - \mu_y)$

$$f_{X|Y}(x | y) = \frac{|R_x - R_{xy}R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T|^{-1/2}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{m}{2}}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (x - \mu_x - x_0)^T (R_x - R_{xy}R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T)^{-1} (x - \mu_x - x_0) \right\}$$

$(X | Y)$  follows Gaussian distribution

$$(X | Y) \sim N(\mu_x + R_{xy}R_y^{-1}(Y - \mu_y), R_x - R_{xy}R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T)$$



## PROBLEM 15

15. Show that if  $X \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are jointly Gaussian random vectors, then  $\mathbb{E}[X | Y] = AY$  and

$$\mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X | Y])(X - \mathbb{E}[X | Y])^T | Y] = C$$

Where  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  and  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  is positive definite

**solution**

As proved in Problem 14, for  $X, Y$  with  $\mu_x = 0, \mu_y = 0$

Denote covariance by  $R_x = \mathbb{E}[XX^T], R_{xy} = \mathbb{E}[XY^T], R_y = \mathbb{E}[YY^T]$ , where  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1}, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$

$$f_{X,Y}(x, y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{m+n}{2}}} \begin{vmatrix} R_x & R_{xy} \\ R_{xy}^T & R_y \end{vmatrix}^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} R_x & R_{xy} \\ R_{xy}^T & R_y \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

$(X | Y)$  with zero means  $\mu_x = 0, \mu_y = 0$  follows Gaussian distribution, where  $x_0 \equiv R_{xy}R_y^{-1}y$

$$(X | Y) \sim N(R_{xy}R_y^{-1}Y, R_x - R_{xy}R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T)$$

$$f_{X|Y}(x | y) = \frac{|R_x - R_{xy}R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T|^{-1/2}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{m}{2}}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}(x - x_0)^T (R_x - R_{xy}R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T)^{-1} (x - x_0) \right\}$$

So, we know the follows, where  $A = R_{xy}R_y^{-1}, C = R_x - R_{xy}R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T$

$$\mathbb{E}[X | Y] = AY = R_{xy}R_y^{-1}Y$$

$$\mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X | Y])(X - \mathbb{E}[X | Y])^T | Y] = C = R_x - R_{xy}R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T$$

Let's prove  $C$  is positive definite now! With **Schur complement** if  $A, D$  are invertible, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} I & BD^{-1} \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A - BD^{-1}C & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ D^{-1}C & I \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ CA^{-1} & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D - CA^{-1}B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & A^{-1}B \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $A = R_x, B = R_{xy}, C = R_{xy}^T, D = R_y$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} R_x & R_{xy} \\ R_{xy}^T & R_y \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} I & R_{xy}R_y^{-1} \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R_x - R_{xy}R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T & 0 \\ 0 & R_y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T & I \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T & I \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} R_x - R_{xy}R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T & 0 \\ 0 & R_y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T & I \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Because  $\begin{pmatrix} R_x & R_{xy} \\ R_{xy}^T & R_y \end{pmatrix}$  is positive definite, for  $\forall z = (z_1, \dots, z_{m+n})^T \in \mathbb{R}^{(m+n) \times 1}$

$$z^T \begin{pmatrix} R_x & R_{xy} \\ R_{xy}^T & R_y \end{pmatrix} z = z^T \mathbb{E} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}^T \right] z = \mathbb{E} \left[ z^T \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}^T z \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( z^T \cdot \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \right)^2 \right] > 0$$

Since  $\begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T & I \end{pmatrix}$  is full rank, do transform  $z' = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T & I \end{pmatrix} z$ , space of  $z'$  is also  $\mathbb{R}^{(m+n) \times 1}$

$$(z')^T \begin{pmatrix} R_x - R_{xy}R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T & 0 \\ 0 & R_y \end{pmatrix} z' = \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( z'^T \cdot \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \right)^2 \right] > 0$$

It holds for any  $z' \in \mathbb{R}^{(m+n) \times 1}$ , we prove that  $C = R_x - R_{xy}R_y^{-1}R_{xy}^T$  is positive definite

## PROBLEM 16

16. Let  $Y \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be zero-mean jointly Gaussian random vectors. Then define the following notation for this problem. Let  $p(y, x)$  and  $p(y|x)$  be the joint and conditional density of  $Y$  given  $X$ . Let  $B$  be the joint positive-definite precision matrix (i.e., inverse covariance matrix) given by  $B^{-1} = \mathbb{E}[ZZ^T]$  where  $Z = \begin{pmatrix} Y \\ X \end{pmatrix}$ . Furthermore, let  $C, D$ , and  $E$  be the matrix blocks that form  $B$ , so that

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} C & D \\ D^T & E \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ ,  $D \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , and  $E \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . Finally, define the matrix  $A$  so that  $AX = \mathbb{E}[Y | X]$ , and define the matrix

$$\Lambda^{-1} = \mathbb{E}[(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y | X])(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y | X])^T | X]$$

- Write out an expression for  $p(y, x)$  in terms of  $B$
- Write out an expression for  $p(y | x)$  in terms of  $A$  and  $\Lambda$
- Derive an expression for  $\Lambda$  in terms of  $C, D$ , and  $E$
- Derive an expression for  $A$  in terms of  $C, D$ , and  $E$

**solution**

As proved in Problem 14, 15, we can obtain these conclusions by exchange  $X \leftrightarrow Y$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} R_y & R_{xy}^T \\ R_{xy} & R_x \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} (R_y - R_{xy}^T R_x^{-1} R_{xy})^{-1} & -(R_y - R_{xy}^T R_x^{-1} R_{xy})^{-1} R_{xy}^T R_x^{-1} \\ -[(R_y - R_{xy}^T R_x^{-1} R_{xy})^{-1} R_{xy}^T R_x^{-1}]^T & R_x^{-1} + R_x^{-1} R_{xy} (R_y - R_{xy}^T R_x^{-1} R_{xy})^{-1} R_{xy}^T R_x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$C = (R_y - R_{xy}^T R_x^{-1} R_{xy})^{-1}$$

$$D = -(R_y - R_{xy}^T R_x^{-1} R_{xy})^{-1} R_{xy}^T R_x^{-1}$$

$$E = R_x^{-1} + R_x^{-1} R_{xy} (R_y - R_{xy}^T R_x^{-1} R_{xy})^{-1} R_{xy}^T R_x^{-1}$$

Denote covariance by  $R_x = \mathbb{E}[XX^T]$ ,  $R_{xy} = \mathbb{E}[XY^T]$ ,  $R_y = \mathbb{E}[YY^T]$ , where  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$ ,  $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1}$

$$f_{Y,X}(y, x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{m+n}{2}}} \begin{vmatrix} R_y & R_{xy}^T \\ R_{xy} & R_x \end{vmatrix}^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} y \\ x \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} R_y & R_{xy}^T \\ R_{xy} & R_x \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} y \\ x \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

$(X | Y)$  with zero means  $\mu_x = 0, \mu_y = 0$  follows Gaussian distribution, where  $y_0 \equiv R_{xy}^T R_x^{-1} x$

$$(Y | X) \sim N(R_{xy}^T R_x^{-1} X, R_y - R_{xy}^T R_x^{-1} R_{xy})$$

$$f_{Y|X}(y | x) = \frac{|R_y - R_{xy}^T R_x^{-1} R_{xy}|^{-1/2}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{m}{2}}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (y - y_0)^T (R_y - R_{xy}^T R_x^{-1} R_{xy})^{-1} (y - y_0) \right\}$$

So, for  $A, \Lambda$ , we have

$$A = R_{xy}^T R_x^{-1}$$

$$\Lambda = (R_y - R_{xy}^T R_x^{-1} R_{xy})^{-1}$$

- Write out an expression for  $p(y, x)$  in terms of  $B$

$$f_{Y,X}(y, x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{m+n}{2}}} |B|^{1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} y \\ x \end{pmatrix}^T B \begin{pmatrix} y \\ x \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

b) Write out an expression for  $p(y | x)$  in terms of  $A$  and  $\Lambda$

$$f_{Y|X}(y | x) = \frac{|\Lambda|^{1/2}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{m}{2}}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}(y - Ax)^T \Lambda (y - Ax) \right\}$$

c) Derive an expression for  $\Lambda$  in terms of  $C$ ,  $D$ , and  $E$

$$\Lambda = C$$

d) Derive an expression for  $A$  in terms of  $C$ ,  $D$ , and  $E$

$$A = -C^{-1}D$$

## PROBLEM 17

17. Let  $Y$  and  $X$  be random variables, and let  $Y_{MAP}$  and  $Y_{MMSE}$  be the MAP and MMSE estimates respectively of  $Y$  given  $X$ . Pick distributions for  $Y$  and  $X$  so that the MAP estimator is very “poor”, but the MMSE estimator is “good”

**solution**

Consider  $X = Y + W$ , and  $Y, W$  are mutually independent, where  $W$  follows the pdf

$$f_W(w) = \frac{1-\epsilon}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{w^2}{2}\right\} + \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{2\pi\epsilon}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(w-\frac{1}{\epsilon})^2}{2\epsilon^2}\right\}$$

Set  $Y \sim N(0, 1^2)$

$$f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{y^2}{2}\right\}$$

As proved in Problem 13 b), we can compute the pdf of  $(Y | X)$

$$f_{Y|X}(y | x) = (1-\epsilon) \frac{\left|(1^{-1} + 1^{-1})^{-1}\right|^{-1/2}}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} (1^{-1} + 1^{-1}) (y - y_0)^2\right\} \\ + (\epsilon) \cdot \frac{\left|(1^{-1} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2})^{-1}\right|^{-1/2}}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \left(1^{-1} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\right) (y - y_1)^2\right\}$$

$$\text{where } y_0 \equiv (1^{-1} + 1^{-1})^{-1} 1^{-1} x = \frac{x}{2}, \quad y_1 \equiv \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \left(1^{-1} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} x = \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \frac{x}{1 + \epsilon^2},$$

Let  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0_+$

MAP =  $\underset{y}{\operatorname{argmin}} f_{Y|X}(y | X) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \frac{X}{1+\epsilon^2}$  is close to infinity  $\rightarrow +\infty$

MMSE =  $\mathbb{E}[Y | X] = (1-\epsilon) \frac{X}{2} + \epsilon \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon} + \frac{X}{1+\epsilon^2}\right]$  is close to  $\rightarrow \frac{X}{2} + 1$

## PROBLEM 18

18. Prove that two zero-mean discrete-time Gaussian random processes have the same distribution, if and only if they have the same time autocovariance function.

**solution**

Because it is Gaussian random process, write down the pdf, where  $\mathbb{E}[(X_m, \dots, X_{m+k})] = 0_{1 \times (k+1)}$   $(X_m, \dots, X_{m+k})$  and  $(Y_m, \dots, Y_{m+k})$  have the same distribution for all  $\forall k, m \in \mathbb{Z}^*$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{X_m, \dots, X_{m+k}}(c_0, \dots, c_k) &= \frac{|\text{Cov}[(X_m, \dots, X_{m+k})^T (X_m, \dots, X_{m+k})]|^{-1/2}}{(2\pi)^{k/2}} \\
&\exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} [(c_0, \dots, c_k)^T - \mathbb{E}[(X_m, \dots, X_{m+k})^T]] \right. \\
&\cdot [\text{Cov}[(X_m, \dots, X_{m+k})^T (X_m, \dots, X_{m+k})]^{-1} [(c_0, \dots, c_k) - \mathbb{E}[(X_m, \dots, X_{m+k})]] \left. \right\} \\
&= \frac{|\text{Cov}[(X_m, \dots, X_{m+k})^T (X_m, \dots, X_{m+k})]|^{-1/2}}{(2\pi)^{k/2}} \\
&\exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} [(c_0, \dots, c_k)^T] [\text{Cov}[(X_m, \dots, X_{m+k})^T (X_m, \dots, X_{m+k})]^{-1} [(c_0, \dots, c_k)]] \right\} \\
&= f_{Y_m, \dots, Y_{m+k}}(c_0, \dots, c_k) \\
&= \frac{|\text{Cov}[(Y_m, \dots, Y_{m+k})^T (Y_m, \dots, Y_{m+k})]|^{-1/2}}{(2\pi)^{k/2}} \\
&\exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} [(c_0, \dots, c_k)^T] [\text{Cov}[(Y_m, \dots, Y_{m+k})^T (X_m, \dots, X_{m+k})]^{-1} [(c_0, \dots, c_k)]] \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

Which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
|\text{Cov}[(X_m, \dots, X_{m+k})^T (X_m, \dots, X_{m+k})]| &= |\text{Cov}[(Y_m, \dots, Y_{m+k})^T (Y_m, \dots, Y_{m+k})]| \\
\text{Cov}[(X_m, \dots, X_{m+k})^T (X_m, \dots, X_{m+k})]^{-1} &= \text{Cov}[(Y_m, \dots, Y_{m+k})^T (Y_m, \dots, Y_{m+k})]^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

That is equivalent to, it holds for all  $\forall k, m \in \mathbb{Z}^*$

$$\text{Cov}[(X_m, \dots, X_{m+k})^T (X_m, \dots, X_{m+k})] = \text{Cov}[(Y_m, \dots, Y_{m+k})^T (Y_m, \dots, Y_{m+k})]$$

## PROBLEM 19

19. Prove all Gaussian wide-sense stationary random processes are:

- a) strict-sense stationary
- b) reversible

**solution****a) strict-sense stationary**

Definition: for any fixed  $k \geq 0$ , all  $\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , it doesn't change for any fixed  $(c_1, \dots, c_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times 1}$

$$F_{X_m, \dots, X_{m+k}}(c_0, \dots, c_k) = F_k(c_0, \dots, c_k)$$

We only have to prove the follows for pdf

$$f_{X_m, \dots, X_{m+k}}(c_0, \dots, c_k) = f_k(c_0, \dots, c_k)$$

Start proof! Freeze  $k$ , we know that for wide-sense stationary random processes

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{m+i}] = \mu, \quad \mathbb{E}[X_{m+i}X_{m+j}] - \mu^2 = R(|i-j|) \quad \forall i, j \in \{0, \dots, k\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R^{(m,k)} &\equiv \text{Cov}[(X_m, \dots, X_{m+k})^T (X_m, \dots, X_{m+k})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(X_m, \dots, X_{m+k})^T (X_m, \dots, X_{m+k})] - \mathbb{E}[(X_m, \dots, X_{m+k})]^T \mathbb{E}[(X_m, \dots, X_{m+k})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(X_m, \dots, X_{m+k})^T (X_m, \dots, X_{m+k})] - \mu^2 \cdot \mathbf{1}_{k \times k} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} R(0) & R(1) & \dots & R(k) \\ R(1) & R(0) & \dots & R(k-1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ R(k) & R(k-1) & \dots & R(0) \end{pmatrix} = R^{(k)} \end{aligned}$$

Because it is Gaussian random process, we can write down the pdf

$$\begin{aligned} f_{X_m, \dots, X_{m+k}}(c_0, \dots, c_k) &= \frac{|\text{Cov}[(X_m, \dots, X_{m+k})^T (X_m, \dots, X_{m+k})]|^{-1/2}}{(2\pi)^{k/2}} \\ &\exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} [(c_0, \dots, c_k)^T - \mathbb{E}[(X_m, \dots, X_{m+k})^T]] \right. \\ &\quad \cdot [\text{Cov}[(X_m, \dots, X_{m+k})^T (X_m, \dots, X_{m+k})]^{-1} [(c_0, \dots, c_k) - \mathbb{E}[(X_m, \dots, X_{m+k})]] \left. \right\} \\ &= \frac{|R^{(k)}|^{-1/2}}{(2\pi)^{k/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} [(c_0, \dots, c_k)^T - \mu \cdot \mathbf{1}_{1 \times (k+1)}] [R^{(k)}]^{-1} [(c_0, \dots, c_k) - \mu \cdot \mathbf{1}_{(k+1) \times 1}] \right\} \\ &= f_k(c_0, \dots, c_k) \end{aligned}$$

pdf  $f_{X_m, \dots, X_{m+k}}(c_1, \dots, c_k) = f_k(c_0, \dots, c_k)$  is NOT function of  $m$ ,  $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ ,  $\forall (c_0, \dots, c_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times k}$

**b) reversible**

We need to prove that for  $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ ,  $\forall (c_1, \dots, c_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times k}$

$$f_{X_m, \dots, X_{m+k}}(c_0, \dots, c_k) = f_{X_m, \dots, X_{m+k}}(c_k, \dots, c_0)$$

Actually,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}[(X_m, \dots, X_{m+k})^T (X_m, \dots, X_{m+k})] &= \text{Cov}[(X_{m+k}, \dots, X_m)^T (X_{m+k}, \dots, X_m)] \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} R(0) & R(1) & \cdots & R(k) \\ R(1) & R(0) & \cdots & R(k-1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ R(k) & R(k-1) & \cdots & R(0) \end{pmatrix} = R^{(k)} \\ f_{X_m, \dots, X_{m+k}}(c_0, \dots, c_k) &= f_{X_m, \dots, X_{m+k}}(c_k, \dots, c_0) = f_k(c_0, \dots, c_k) = f_k(c_k, \dots, c_0) \end{aligned}$$

## PROBLEM 20

20. Construct an example of a strict-sense stationary random process that is not reversible.

**solution**

Let's assume random process  $X_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  iid, and follows

$$P(X_n = 1) = p, \quad P(X_n = 0) = 1 - p, \quad p \in (0, 1)$$

Think about random Process  $Y_n$  as a “register” with 2 bits to store information of  $X_n$

$$Y_n = (X_{n-1}X_n)_2 = 2^1 \cdot X_{n-1} + 2^0 \cdot X_n$$

**Proof of strict-sense stationary**

We can compute the Probability for any  $[Y_m, \dots, Y_{m+k}]^T$  where  $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} P_{m,m+k}((c_{-1}c_0)_2, \dots, (c_{k-1}c_k)_2) &\equiv P\{Y_m = (c_{-1}c_0)_2, \dots, Y_{m+k} = (c_{k-1}c_k)_2\} \\ &= P\{X_{m-1} = c_{-1}, X_m = c_0, \dots, X_{m+k} = c_k\} \\ &= \prod_{k'=-1}^k P\{X_{m+k'} = c_{k'}\} \\ &= p^{\sum_{k'=-1}^k \delta(c_{k'})} \cdot (1-p)^{\sum_{k'=-1}^k \delta(c_{k'}-1)} \\ &= \prod_{k'=-1}^k P\{X_{k'} = c_{k'}\} \\ &= P\{X_{-1} = c_{-1}, X_0 = c_0, \dots, X_k = c_k\} \\ &= P\{Y_0 = (c_{-1}c_0)_2, \dots, Y_k = (c_{k-1}c_k)_2\} \\ &\equiv P_{0,k}((c_{-1}c_0)_2, \dots, (c_{k-1}c_k)_2) \end{aligned}$$

Where  $c_{k'} \in \{1, 0\}, k' = \{-1, 0, \dots, k\}$ . Other than that, when  $[Y_m, \dots, Y_{m+k}]^T \neq [(c_{-1}c_0)_2, \dots, (c_{k-1}c_k)_2]^T$ , we have  $P_{m,m+k} = P_{0,k} = 0$

Thus, we prove that for  $\forall m, k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq 0$ , and  $\forall [t_0, \dots, t_k]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{(k+1) \times 1}$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{m,m+k}(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_k) &= F_{0,k}(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_k) \\ &= \sum_{t'_0=-\infty}^{t_0} \dots \sum_{t'_k=-\infty}^{t_k} P_{m,m+k}(t'_0, \dots, t'_k) = \sum_{t'_0=-\infty}^{t_0} \dots \sum_{t'_k=-\infty}^{t_k} P_{0,k}(t'_0, \dots, t'_k) \end{aligned}$$



Proof of **NOT** reversible

Set  $m = 0, k = 2$  and  $[c_{-1}, c_0, c_1] = [1, 1, 0]^T$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{0,k}((c_{-1}c_0)_2, (c_0c_1)_2) &\equiv P\{Y_0 = (c_{-1}c_0)_2, Y_1 = (c_0c_1)_2\} \\
&= P\{X_{-1} = c_{-1}, X_0 = c_0, \dots, X_1 = c_1\} \\
&= \prod_{k'=-1}^1 P\{X_{k'} = c_{k'}\} \\
&= p^2(1-p) \\
&\neq 0 \\
&= P\{X_{-1} = 1, X_0 = 0, X_0 = 1, X_1 = 1\} \quad X_0 = 0, X = 1 \text{ is Impossible} \\
&= P\{X_{-1} = c_0, X_0 = c_1, X_0 = c_{-1}, X_1 = c_0\} \\
&= P\{Y_0 = (c_0c_1)_2, Y_1 = (c_{-1}c_0)_2\} \\
&\equiv P_{0,k}((c_0c_1)_2, (c_{-1}c_0)_2)
\end{aligned}$$

So, it is **NOT** possible to have

$$F_{0,k}(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_k) = F_{m,m+k}(t_k, t_{k-1}, \dots, t_0)$$

for  $\forall m, k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq 0$ , and  $\forall [t_0, \dots, t_k]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{(k+1) \times 1}$